

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** Dual Carriageway at Stonehenge - Our Opinions  
**Date:** 02 April 2022 22:31:40

---

Secretary of State for Transport,

I am unaware of the correct procedure in putting forward my family's opinion on this matter but I would like to be very clear we do not agree with any changes to the area around Stonehenge.

My mother has lived here ([REDACTED]) for 50 years and my father has lived here all his life ([REDACTED]) - with his brother ([REDACTED]), his wife (similar age), and myself 39 yrs. We are fully aware that the lovely area has gone through many changes over the years but currently not for the better as the money aspect has taken over, and these plans will disrupt the environment, the locals viewing enjoyment and the land around the site.

Why on earth does anyone feel they have the right to destroy the status of a World Heritage Site as well as the land around this site? The status has already been threatened and yet people are willing to risk the natural beauty over money? Surely someone with sense must see this is fundamentally wrong and we should for once stop this ridiculous threat. The land around the site will be changed forever and not for the benefit of the environment. What guarantee do we have also that Stonehenge itself will not be affected by this change? The ground could become unstable, the stones could perhaps shift, the new tunnels could in fact collapse, there will be extra maintenance to be made, it really is just a pathetic money making scheme. I hardly think underground carbon emissions are going to benefit the environment. Perhaps alternative roads or some sort of detour for specific traffic could be arranged or planned. This would help reduce some traffic.

I am no expert but surely the fact it is a world heritage site means that it is on a list, people on the world will see this list and they visit. Changing this to just satisfy some monetary gratification that no one can see this site unless you've paid really is going too far. How on earth can the money that this is going to take be justified?

Why is it not possible for the site to perhaps charge more for entry or find an alternative way to raise money. The site should not be owned by anyone and especially by anyone who doesn't respect the natural site. Please do not ruin years of tradition and enjoyment by changing the roads like this around the site.

We would all like to forward our opinion that we are fully against this. Please can we request a re-examination of Development Consent Order. All the figures and respect of the site really do need to be examined further.

Regards,  
Victoria and The Garrett Family.

[REDACTED]